IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

APPELLATE SIDE CIVIL JURISDICTION

REVIEW PETITION NO.146 OF 2006
IN
WRIT PETITION NO.7424 OF 2004

Shri Baban Shripati Gaikwad .. Petitioner
v/s.
Union of India and others .. Respondents

In chamber at 2.35 p.m.

Mr. J.M. Panpure for the petitioner.

Mr. D.A. Dubey for Mr. S.S. Sarkar for the respondent No.1.

CORAM : R.M.LODHA &
R.S. MOHITE, JJ.

DATED : 20TH NOVEMBER, 2006.

We perused the order of the Central Administrative Tribunal and our order dated 5th April, 2005. We also considered Rule 18 of the CCS (Pension) Rules, 1972 since it was contended that Rule 18 was applicable and not considered by us.

2. Having considered Rule 18, we find that Rule 18 is not applicable. The Central Administrative Tribunal, therefore, did not commit any error in holding that Rule 18 is not applicable in the case

of the applicant.

3. No case for review is made out. Review application is dismissed.

(R.M.LODHA, J.)

(R.S. MOHITE, J.)